

## ALGEBRAS

Let  $R$  be a ring with  $1_R$  such that one can write  $1_R = e_1 + \cdots + e_j$ , where the  $e_i$  are primitive central orthogonal idempotents.

- **Primitive:** Can't be written as a sum of idempotents.
- **Central:** Idempotent is in the center of the ring.
- **Orthogonal:**  $e_i e_j = 0 = e_j e_i$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

This gives us a decomposition into blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_j R \\ &= B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, then we can decompose it as a direct sum:

$$M = e_1 M \oplus e_2 M \oplus \cdots \oplus e_j M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_j.$$

We say that  $M_i$  *belongs to* or *lies in* the block  $B_i$ .

Now, suppose that  ${}_R M$  is indecomposable. Then  $M$  can be decomposed as above, but no submodule of  $M$  has a direct complement. Hence  $M = e_i M$  for some  $i$  and  $e_k M = 0$ , for all  $k \neq i$ .

- The indecomposable  $M$  belongs to the block  $B_i$ .
- The indecomposables (and simples) are classified into blocks.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra,  $k$  algebraically closed, and  $Z(A)$  the center of  $A$ .*

*Then there is a block decomposition*

$$Z(A) = e_1 Z(A) \oplus e_2 Z(A) \oplus \cdots \oplus e_j Z(A)$$

*where each  $e_i Z(A)$  is local and there is an inclusion map*

$$\frac{e_i Z(A)}{J(e_i Z(A))} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_A(V)$$

*for each indecomposable  $A$ -module  $V$  in  $B_i$ .*

*Proof.* A decomposition of  $1_A$  as a sum of central idempotents in  $A$  and in  $Z(A)$  are the same thing. Hence, the block decomposition of  $Z(A)$  is as stated. The  $Z(A)$  is commutative so,

$$e_i Z(A) \cong \text{End}_{Z(A)}(e_i Z(A)) \cong e_i Z(A) e_i$$

which is local as a consequence of the Krull-Schmidt theorem.

If  $V$  is a simple  $A$ -module lying in  $B_i$ , then  $e_i Z(A)$  acts on  $V$  nontrivially as endomorphisms, because  $e_i$  acts as the identity. Hence,

$$e_i Z(A) \rightarrow \text{End}_A(V)$$

and since  $e_i Z(A)$  is a local division ring we get the induced injection stated. □

## GROUP ALGEBRAS

We now wish to specialize to group algebras. Recall that

$$kG = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \cdot g \mid \alpha_g \in k \right\}$$

The key idea for what we want to do is regard  $kG$  as a module for the group algebra  $k[G \times G]$ .

**Q:** How do we do this?

**A:** We need to define an action

$$k[G \times G] \times k[G] \longrightarrow k[G]$$

$$(g, h) \times a \longmapsto gah^{-1}$$

*Remark 1. Fact:* The  $k[G \times G]$ -submodules of  $kG$  are the ideals of  $kG$ . In particular, we can decompose  $kG$  as a direct sum of  $k[G \times G]$ -modules:

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_k$$

the blocks of  $kG$ .

Let  $\delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$  so that  $g \mapsto (g, g)$  be the diagonal map. If  $H, K \leq G$  with  $\delta H$  and  $\delta K$  conjugate in  $G \times G$ , then  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate in  $G$ . That is, there exists  $(a, b) \in G \times G$  such that

$$(a, b)\delta H(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = \delta K$$

projecting onto the first coordinate one sees that  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate in  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $U$  be an indecomposable  $kG$ -module.*

- (1) *There is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , call it  $Q$ , unique up to conjugacy in  $G$ , such that  $U$  is relatively  $H$ -projective, for a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  if and only if  $H$  contains a conjugate of  $Q$ .*
- (2) *There is an indecomposable  $kQ$ -module  $S$ , such that  $U$  is (isomorphic with) a direct summand of  $S^G = k[G] \otimes_{kQ} S$ .*

We now make the definitions so the theorem can make some kind of remote sense:

**Definition 1.**

- (1) We say that  $U$  is *relative  $H$ -projective* if  $\phi : V \rightarrow U$  of  $kG$ -modules and  $\phi$  split as a  $kH$ -homomorphism implies that  $\phi$  is split.
- (2) We say that  $Q$ , as in the theorem, is a *vertex* of  $U$  and  $S$  is a *source* of  $U$ .

We are now at the point where we can define what a defect group is:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $B$  is a block of  $kG$ , then  $B$  has a vertex, as a  $k[G \times G]$ -module, of the form  $\delta D$ , where  $D$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

#### DEFECT GROUPS

We have decomposed  $kG$  into blocks  $kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_j$  as  $k[G \times G]$ -modules.

*Remark 2.* Given a block  $B_i$ , there exists a  $p$ -subgroup  $D \leq G$  such that  $\delta D$  is a vertex of  $B_i$ , as a  $k[G \times G]$ -module. This  $D$  is the *defect group* of  $B_i$ .

**Theorem 3** (Brauer's First Main Theorem). *If  $D$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N_G(D)$ , then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the blocks of  $H$  with defect group  $D$  and the blocks of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  by letting the block  $b$  of  $H$  correspond to the block  $b^G$  of  $G$ .*

*Remark 3.*

- (1) We say that  $b$  is the *Brauer Correspondent* of  $b^G$ .
- (2) Determining the blocks of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  is a "local" question:

It's enough to answer the question for  $N_G(D)$ .

**Theorem 4** (Blocks of Defect Zero). *If  $B$  is a block of  $kG$  with defect group  $D$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $J(B) = 0$  implies that  $B$  is a full matrix ring over a division ring.
- (2)  $D$  is the trivial subgroup of  $G$ .
- (3)  $B$  contains a projective simple module.